¹Defendant Eugene Stoytchev is still awaiting appointment or retention of counsel.

All parties would request a trial setting in October 2012.

2. Initial Discovery Phase

The parties propose that the government shall have until February 16, 2012, to disclose and provide the following:

- a) the bulk of the statements, documents, and objects, including audio and video recordings, required to be disclosed under FRCP 16(a)(1)(A)-(F), including foreign language audio recordings, defense counsel may file a motion to request minimization logs;
- b) all search warrants, orders authorizing the interception of wire, oral or electronic communications, supporting affidavits, that relate to evidence that may be offered at trial.

3. The Discovery Motions Schedule to Resolve Any Discovery Disputes

The parties acknowledge a duty to make good faith efforts to meet and confer with each other to resolve informally any dispute over the scope, manner and method of disclosures before seeking relief from the Court. A breach of duty to meet and confer, by either party, may serve as a basis to grant or deny any subsequent motion for appropriate relief made before the Court. If the parties are unable to agree or resolve disputes after good faith efforts to do so, the parties propose the following discovery and non-discovery pretrial motions schedule:

a) Pre trial Motions, including notices of any defenses under Rules 12.1,
 12.2 and 12.3, of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, shall be filed on or before April 28, 2012;

- b) Responses to Pretrial Motions to be filed on or before May 13, 2012;
- c) Replies to Pretrial Motions to be filed on or before May 18, 2012.

4. The Second Discovery Phase

The parties propose that NO LATER THAN 30 DAYS BEFORE TRIAL

- a) the government will provide expert disclosures as required under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16(a)(1)(G);
- b) the defendant will comply with his obligation to provide reciprocal discovery and provide all documents, objects and reports of examination required under FRCP 16(b)(1)(A) and (B). The defendant will provide discovery pursuant to Rule 16(b)(1)c) concerning expert witnesses NO LATER THAN 20 DAYS BEFORE TRIAL

5. The Third Discovery Phase

The parties propose that NO LATER THAN 10 DAYS BEFORE TRIAL:

- the parties will disclose any summaries, charts or calculations that will be
 offered in their respective case in chief at trial;
- b) the parties will identify recordings, transcript of recordings, or portions thereof, that will be offered in their respective case in chief at trial, except for foreign language recordings and/or transcripts which defense counsel may file a motion to disclose to reduce the cost of defense.
- the government will disclose any statements of witnesses under Title 18
 United States Code, Section 3500, unless the government files a motion

for protective order under Rule 16(d)(1) at the times said statement is due herein; and

d) the defendant will disclose any statements of witnesses the defendant intends to call in his case in chief.

6. Issues on which agreement has not been reached

Defendants shall file on or before CI AFI, 2012, any and all motions relating to disclosure of reports or memoranda of interviews of witnesses the government intends to call in its case in chief; and disclosure of all evidence or information known to the prosecutor that tends to negate the guilt of the accused or mitigate the offense pursuant to LR IA 10-7(a), which incorporates Rule 3.8 of the Nevada Rules of Professional Conduct, effective May 1, 2006.

Defendants specifically reserve the right to file motions concerning the format and nature of the video and audio provided in discovery including but not limited to requesting the government to produce a rough draft index.

7. Supplemental Motions

The parties agree that no supplemental motion can be filed upon a showing of good cause as determined by the court. These motions shall be based on issues unforseen to the parties at the time this agreement is filed.

DATED this 2nd February, 2012.

By: /s/ Chris Rasmussen

Chris Rasmussen, Esq. Attorney for Michael Vales IT IS SO ORDERED this 9th day of February, 2012.

Peggy A. Leen

United States Magistrate Judge

1	By: /s/ Charles Kelley
2	Charles Kelley, Esq.
3	Attorney for Bertly Ellazar
4	By: /s/ Osvaldo Fumo
5	Osvaldo Fumo, Esq.
6	Attorney for lavor Stoychev
7	By: /s/ Timothy Vasquez
8	Timothy Vasquez
9	Assistant United States Attorney
10	By: /s/ Kalani Hoo
11	
12	Kalani Hoo, Esq. Attorney for Melanie Pascua
13	By: /s/ Thomas Ericsson
14	
15	Thomas Ericsson, Esq. Attorney for Radu Lisnic
16	By: /s/ Thomas Pitaro
17	Thomas Pitaro, Esq.
18	Attorney for Edelin Dimitrov
19	By: /s/ James Hartsell
20	James Hartsell, Esq.
21	Attorney for Christopher Castro
22	By: /s/ Benjamin Durham
23	Benjamin Durham, Esq.
24	Attorney for Manuel Garza
25	By: /s/ Xavier Gonzales
26	Xavier Gonzales, Esq.
27	Attorney for Eduard Petroiu
28	5

1	By: /s/ Craig Drummond
2 3	Craig Drummond, Esq. Attorney for Ryne Green
4	By: /s/ Herb Sacs
5	Herb Sachs, Esq.
6	Attorney for Evghenii Russu
7	Delyana Nedylkova
8	By: /s/ Eric Roy
9	Eric Roy, Esq. Attorney for Vladimir Budest
11	, memby for viadimin Bados
12	
3	
4	
15	
16	
17	
8	
19	
20	
21	
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Case 2:11-cr-00434-RFB-PAL Document 158 Filed 02/14/12 Page 6 of 8

Case 2:11-cr-00434-RFB-PAL Document 158 Filed 02/14/12 Page 7 of 8

Case 2:11-cr-00434-RFB-PAL Document 158 Filed 02/14/12 Page 8 of 8